

WIENER-HOPF OPERATORS ON SPACES OF FUNCTIONS ON $\mathbb{R}^+$ WITH VALUES IN A HILBERT SPACE

VIOLETA PETKOVA

Abstract. A Wiener-Hopf operator on a Banach space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ is a bounded operator T such that $P^+S_{-a}TS_a = T$, $a \geq 0$, where S_a is the operator of translation by a . We obtain a representation theorem for the Wiener-Hopf operators on a large class of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in a separable Hilbert space.

Key words: Wiener-Hopf operators, symbol, Fourier transformation, spectrum of translation operators

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with Wiener-Hopf operators on Banach spaces of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in a separable Hilbert space H . Let E be a Banach space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that $E \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. For $a \geq 0$, define the operator

$$S_a : E \longrightarrow L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+),$$

by the formula $(S_af)(x) = f(x-a)$, for almost every $x \in [a, +\infty[$ and $(S_af)(x) = 0$, for $x \in [0, a[$. For $a \geq 0$, introduce

$$S_{-a} : E \longrightarrow L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+),$$

defined by the formula $(S_{-a}f)(x) = f(x+a)$, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Notice that $S_{-a}S_a = I$ but $S_aS_{-a} \neq I$. From now, we suppose that $S_aE \subset E$ and $S_{-a}E \subset E$, $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}^+$. The Wiener-Hopf operators on E are the bounded operators

$$T : E \longrightarrow E$$

satisfying

$$S_{-a}TS_a = T, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Denote by P^+ the operator

$$P^+ : L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$$

defined by

$$(P^+f)(x) = f(x), \quad a.e. \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^+.$$

The Wiener-Hopf operators which appear in theory of the signal and in control theory have been studied in a lot of papers. The problem we deal here is the existence of a symbol for

operators of this type. It is well-known that if T is a Wiener-Hopf operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ there exists $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$Tf = P^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h\hat{f}), \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Here $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the usual Fourier transformation from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The function h is called the symbol of T . Despite of the extensive literature related to Wiener-Hopf operators, there are not analogous representation theorem for Wiener-Hopf operators on general Banach spaces of functions even if the functions are with values in $\mathbb{C}$. Here we develop a theory of the existence of a L^∞ symbol for every Wiener-Hopf operator in a very large class of spaces of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in a separable Hilbert space. Moreover, we obtain a characterisation of $\text{spec}(S_1) \cap (\text{spec}(S_{-1}))^{-1}$. The determination of the spectrum of a translation operator is an open question in general spaces of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and it plays an important role in the scattering theory. We are motivated by the results of [5] proving the existence of a symbol for every Wiener-Hopf operator on a weighted space $L_\omega^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ (see Example 1 for the definition). On the other hand, the methods exposed in [6] and [2] show that the existence of the symbol of a multiplier (a bounded operator commuting with the translations) on spaces of scalar functions on $\mathbb{R}$ implies an analogous result for the multipliers on a space of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with values in an Hilbert space. The arguments in [6] and [2] have been based on the link between the scalar and the vector-valued cases. However the results concerning the symbol of a multiplier do not imply analogous results about Wiener-Hopf operator in the general case. It is well-known that for every Wiener-Hopf operator T on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, there exists a multiplier M on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $P^+M = T$. Unfortunately, a such result is not known even for Wiener-Hopf operators on a weighed space $L_\omega^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Despite some progress (see [2], [6]) in the study of the symbol of a multiplier on a space of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with values in a Hilbert space, the analogous problem for Wiener-Hopf operators has been very few considered. Moreover, even in the case of the weighted spaces of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in a Hilbert space the existence of the symbol of a Wiener-Hopf operator was an open problem still now. First in Section 2, we improve the results of [5] concerning the existence of the symbol of a Wiener-Hopf operator on $L_\omega^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ replacing $L_\omega^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ by a general Banach space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying only three natural hypothesis given below. Next following the methods of [6] and [2] and using the results of Section 2, we obtain the existence of the symbol of a Wiener-Hopf operator on a very large class of spaces of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in a separable Hilbert space. In Section 4 we explain how the setup considered here can be extended in several directions.

Let E be a Banach space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following three hypothesis.

(H1) We have $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \subset E \subset L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, the inclusions are continuous and $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is dense in E .

(H2) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $S_x E = E$ and $\sup_{x \in K} \|S_x\| < +\infty$, for every compact K of $\mathbb{R}$.

(H3) For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator Γ_a defined by

$$(\Gamma_a f)(x) = e^{iax} f(x), \text{ a.e. }, \forall f \in E$$

is bounded on E and

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \|\Gamma_a\| < +\infty.$$

Notice that (H3) is trivial, if we have $\|f\| = \left\| |f| \right\|$ in E . Let $C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be the space of C^∞ functions with a compact support included in K . For simplicity, we will write S instead of S_1 . Since the norm of f given by $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \|\Gamma_a f\|$ is equivalent to the norm of E , we will assume from now that Γ_a is an isometry for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\rho(A)$ the spectral radius of a bounded operator A . Set

$$I_E = [-\ln \rho(S_{-1}), \ln \rho(S)]$$

and

$$U_E = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Im} z \in I_E \right\}.$$

For $f \in E$, denote by $(f)_a$ the function defined by $(f)_a(x) = e^{ax} f(x)$, a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^+$. In Section 2 we obtain the following result which generalizes Theorem 1 in [5].

Theorem 1. *Let $T \in W(E)$.*

- 1) *For every $a \in I_E$ we have $(Tf)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$.*
- 2) *For every $a \in I_E$ there exists a function $\nu_a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$(Tf)_a = P^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\nu_a(f)_a}), \text{ for } f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$$

and we have $\|\nu_a\|_\infty \leq C\|T\|$, where C is a constant dependent only on E .

- 3) *Moreover, if $I_E \neq \emptyset$ (i.e. $\frac{1}{\rho(S_{-1})} < \rho(S)$), there exists a function $\nu \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\overset{\circ}{U}_E)$ such that for every $a \in \overset{\circ}{I}_E$ we have*

$$\nu(x + ia) = \nu_a(x), \text{ almost everywhere on } \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition 1. *If $\overset{\circ}{I}_E \neq \emptyset$, ν is called the symbol of T , and if $I_E = \{a\}$, then ν_a is the symbol of T .*

Using Theorem 1, we also obtain the following spectral result.

Theorem 2. *We have*

$$\operatorname{spec}(S) \cap \left(\operatorname{spec}(S_{-1}) \right)^{-1} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(S_{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(S) \right\}.$$

This result is new even in the case of the spaces $L_\omega^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. In particular, we conclude that if $\rho(S) > \frac{1}{\rho(S_{-1})}$ the spectrum of S contains a disk. The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the existence of a symbol for every Wiener-Hopf operator and the construction of suitable cut-off function $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$. This application was one of the motivations to search a symbol of a

Wiener-Hopf operator. Moreover, we extent below the same result for operators with values in a Hilbert space (see Theorem 4).

The main result of this paper is an analogous result for Wiener-Hopf operators on spaces of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in a separable Hilbert space. Denote by $\langle u, v \rangle$ the scalar product of $u, v \in H$ and let $\|u\|_H$ be the norm of $u \in H$. Denote by $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ the space of functions

$$F : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow H$$

such that

$$\left(\mathbb{R}^+ \ni x \longrightarrow \|F(x)\|_H \right) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Let $\mathcal{L}(H)$ be the space of bounded operators on H . Introduce the vector space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H$ generated by fu for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $u \in H$. Denote by $C_0(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ the Banach space of all norm continuous functions

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow H$$

such that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set K_ϵ such that

$$\|\Phi(x)\|_H = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus K_\epsilon.$$

Let E be a Banach space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying (H1), (H2) and (H3). Denote by $\overline{E}$ the Banach space of functions

$$F : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow H$$

such that

$$\left(\mathbb{R}^+ \ni x \longrightarrow \|F(x)\|_H \right) \in E.$$

We will see in Section 3 that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H$ is dense in $\overline{E}$. For illustration, we give below some examples.

Example 1. Let $E = L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$, where ω is a weight on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $p \in [1, +\infty[$. We recall that w is a weight on $\mathbb{R}^+$ if ω is a non-negative measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$0 < \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{\omega(x+y)}{\omega(x)} < +\infty$$

and

$$0 < \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{\omega(x)}{\omega(x+y)} < +\infty.$$

The space $L^p_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is the set of measurable functions f from $\mathbb{R}^+$ into $\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |f(x)|^p \omega(x)^p dx < +\infty,$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\omega,p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |f(x)|^p \omega(x)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It is easy to see that $L_{\omega}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfies the hypothesis (H1), (H2) and (H3). For the study of the Wiener-Hopf operators on $L_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ the reader may consult [5]. The space $\overline{E}$ associated to $L_{\omega}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is the space usually denoted by $L_{\omega}^p(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ of functions

$$F : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow H$$

such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|F(x)\|_H^p \omega(x)^p dx < +\infty.$$

Example 2. Let A be a real-valued continuous function on $[0, +\infty[$, such that $A(0) = 0$ and let $\frac{A(y)}{y}$ be non-decreasing for $y > 0$. Let $L_A(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be the set of all complex-valued, measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right) dx < +\infty,$$

for some positive number t and let

$$\|f\|_A = \inf \left\{ t > 0 \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

for $f \in L_A(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then $L_A(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a Banach space called a Birnbaum-Orlicz space (see [1]). It is easy to check that $L_A(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfies (H1), (H2) and (H3). If $E = L_A(\mathbb{R}^+)$, the associated space $\overline{E}$ is the set $L_A(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ of measurable functions

$$F : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow H$$

such that for some $t > 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(\frac{\|F(x)\|_H}{t}\right) dx < +\infty.$$

Example 3. Let A be a function satisfying the properties described in Example 2. Let ω be a weight on $\mathbb{R}^+$. Define $L_{A,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ as the space of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right) \omega(x) dx < +\infty,$$

for some positive number t and let

$$\|f\|_{A,\omega} = \inf \left\{ t > 0 \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right) \omega(x) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

for $f \in L_{A,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then $L_{A,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a Banach space called a weighted Orlicz space. It is easy to check that $L_{A,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfies (H1), (H2) and (H3). If $E = L_{A,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, the associated space $\overline{E}$ is the set $L_{A,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ of measurable functions

$$F : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow H$$

such that for some $t > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A\left(\frac{\|F(x)\|_H}{t}\right) \omega(x) dx < +\infty.$$

For $a > 0$, we define the operators

$$\mathbf{S}_a : \overline{E} \longrightarrow \overline{E}$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_{-a} : \overline{E} \longrightarrow \overline{E}$$

by

$$(\mathbf{S}_a F)(x) = F(x - a), \text{ a.e. on } [a, +\infty[,$$

$$(\mathbf{S}_a F)(x) = 0, \forall x \in [0, a[,$$

$$(\mathbf{S}_{-a} F)(x) = F(x + a), \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^+.$$

For simplicity, we will write $\mathbf{S}$ instead of $\mathbf{S}_1$. For $F \in \overline{E}$, we denote by $\|F\|_H$ the function

$$\|F\|_H : \mathbb{R}^+ \ni x \longrightarrow \|F(x)\|_H \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For fixed $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that for $F \in \overline{E}$, $F \neq 0$, we have

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{S}_a F\|}{\|F\|} = \frac{\|S_a(\|F\|_H)\|}{\|\|F\|_H\|} \leq \|S_a\|.$$

We conclude that $\mathbf{S}_a$ is bounded and $\|\mathbf{S}_a\| \leq \|S_a\|$. If $\|f\| = \||f|\|$, for every $f \in E$, obviously we get $\|\mathbf{S}_a\| = \|S_a\|$. Introduce the operator

$$\mathbf{P}^+ : L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}, H) \longrightarrow L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$$

defined by the formula

$$(\mathbf{P}^+ F)(x) = F(x), \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Definition 2. We call a Wiener-Hopf operator on $\overline{E}$ every bounded operator $\mathbf{T}$ on $\overline{E}$ such that

$$\mathbf{T}\Phi = \mathbf{S}_{-a}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{S}_a\Phi, \forall a > 0, \forall \Phi \in \overline{E}.$$

Denote by $W(\overline{E})$ the set of the Wiener-Hopf operators on $\overline{E}$.

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 3. Let E be a Banach space satyisfing (H1), (H2) and (H3). Let $\mathbf{T} \in W(\overline{E})$.

1) We have $(\mathbf{T}\Phi)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$, $\forall \Phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H$, $\forall a \in I_E$.

2) There exists $\mathcal{V}_a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}(H))$ such that

$$(\mathbf{T}\Phi)_a = \mathbf{P}^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_a(\cdot)[\widehat{(\Phi)_a}(\cdot)]), \forall a \in I_E, \forall \Phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H.$$

Moreover, $\text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{V}_a(x)\| \leq C \|\mathbf{T}\|$, where C is a constant dependent only on E .

3) If $\mathring{U}_E \neq \emptyset$, set

$$\mathcal{V}(x + ia) = \mathcal{V}_a(x), \forall a \in \mathring{I}_E, \text{ for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then for $u, v \in H$, the function

$$z \longrightarrow \langle u, \mathcal{V}(z)[v] \rangle$$

is in $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathring{U}_E)$ and $\sup_{z \in \mathring{U}_E} \|\mathcal{V}(z)\| \leq C \|\mathbf{T}\|$.

Remark 1. We will see later that $\rho(\mathbf{S}) = \rho(S)$, $\rho(\mathbf{S}_{-1}) = \rho(S_{-1})$ and

$$I_E = [-\ln \rho(S_{-1}), \ln \rho(S)] = [-\ln \rho(\mathbf{S}_{-1}), \ln \rho(\mathbf{S})].$$

We also obtain the following.

Theorem 4. We have

$$\text{spec}(\mathbf{S}) \cap \left(\text{spec}(\mathbf{S}_{-1}) \right)^{-1} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(\mathbf{S}_{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(\mathbf{S}) \right\}.$$

The spectral cracterisation in Therorem 4 has not been known until now even in particular cases when E is a weighted L^p space with a simple weight.

2. WIENER-HOPF OPERATORS ON BANACH SPACES OF SCALAR FUNCTIONS ON $\mathbb{R}^+$

In this section, we prove Theorem 1. We follow the arguments of [5] in our more general case. For the reader convenience we give the details of the steps which need some modifications. First, we show that every Wiener-Hopf operator is associated to a distribution. Denote by $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ the space of functions of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with support in $]0, +\infty[$. Set

$$H^1(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid f' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\},$$

the derivative of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ being computed in the sense of distributions.

Lemma 1. If $T \in W(E)$ and $f \in C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then $(Tf)' = T(f')$.

Proof. Let $f \in C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and let $(h_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence converging to 0. Since $\frac{S_{h_n}f - f}{h_n}$ converges to f' with respect to the topology of $C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $\frac{S_{h_n}f - f}{h_n}$ converges to f' with respect to the topology of E . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} T(f')(x)\phi(x)dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} T\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_{h_n}f - f}{h_n}\right)(x)\phi(x)dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{S_{h_n}Tf - Tf}{h_n}\right)(x)\phi(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (Tf)(x)\phi'(x)dx, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $T(f') = (Tf)'$ in the sense of distributions. $\square$

Proposition 1. *If T is a Wiener-Hopf operator, then there exists a distribution μ_T of order 1 such that*

$$Tf = P^+(\mu_T * f),$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

The proof of Proposition 1 follows the arguments of that of Theorem 2 in [5] and we omit it. We just give the definition of μ_T . We have

$$\langle \mu_T, f \rangle = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (TS_x \tilde{f})(x),$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, where $\tilde{f}$ is the function defined by $\tilde{f}(x) = f(-x)$, for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3. *If $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we denote by T_ϕ the Wiener-Hopf operator such that*

$$T_\phi f = P^+(\phi * f), \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Proposition 2. *If $T \in W(E)$, then there exists a sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|T_{\phi_n} f - Tf\| = 0, \quad \forall f \in E$$

and

$$\|T_{\phi_n}\| \leq C\|T\|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where C is a constant depending only on E .

Proof. The proof follows the idea of the proof of Theorem 3 in [5], but here we must work with Bochner integrals and this leads to some difficulties. For the convenience of the reader we give the details. Let $T \in W(E)$ and set $\mathcal{T}(t) = \Gamma_t \circ T \circ \Gamma_{-t}$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. For $a > 0$, and $f \in E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{-a}\mathcal{T}(t)S_a f)(x) &= (\mathcal{T}(t)S_a f)(x+a) \\ &= e^{it(x+a)} \left(T(f(s-a)e^{-its}) \right)(x+a) \\ &= e^{itx} \left(S_{-a}T \left(f(s-a)e^{-it(s-a)} \right) \right)(x) \\ &= e^{itx} (S_{-a}T S_a (\Gamma_{-t} f))(x) = (\mathcal{T}(t)f)(x), \quad a.e. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{T}(t) \in W(E)$. Moreover, we have $\|\mathcal{T}(t)\| = \|T\|$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{T}(0) = T$. The application $\mathcal{T}$ is continuous from $\mathbb{R}$ into $W(E)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$g_n(\eta) := \left(1 - \left|\frac{\eta}{n}\right|\right) \chi_{[-n, n]}(\eta)$$

and

$$\gamma_n(x) = \frac{1 - \cos(nx)}{\pi x^2 n}.$$

We have $\widehat{\gamma}_n(\eta) = g_n(\eta)$, $\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $\|\gamma_n\|_{L^1} = 1$ for all n and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| \geq a} \gamma_n(x) dx = 0, \quad \forall a > 0.$$

Set $Y_n := (\mathcal{T} * \gamma_n)(0)$. Then for $f \in E$ we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|Y_n f - T f\| = 0.$$

We claim that for $f \in C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we have

$$(Y_n f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{T}(x)f)(y) \gamma_n(-x) dx, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad (2.1)$$

From Lemma 1, we know that for fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the function

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \ni y \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}(x)f)(y)$$

is C^∞ . Let K_0 be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^+$ and let $\psi \in C_{K_0}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(y)(\mathcal{T}(x)f)(y)| &= |\psi(y)(\mu_T * \Gamma_{-x}(f))(y)| = |\psi(y) < \mu_{T,z}, f(z-y) e^{-ix(z-y)} >| \\ &\leq C(\mu) \|\psi\|_\infty (\|S_y \Gamma_{-x} f\|_\infty + \|(S_y \Gamma_{-x} f)'\|_\infty) \\ &\leq C(\mu) \|\psi\|_\infty (\|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty), \quad \forall y \in K_0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\psi \mathcal{T}(x)f\|_\infty \gamma_n(-x) dx < +\infty$$

and hence the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(\mathcal{T}(x)f) \gamma_n(-x) dx$$

is a well-defined Bochner integral with values in $C_{K_0}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$. The map

$$C_{K_0}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \ni g \longrightarrow g(x) \in \mathbb{C},$$

is a continuous linear form for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Since Bochner integrals commute with continuous linear forms (see [3]) we have

$$\psi(y)(Y_n f)(y) = \psi(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{T}(x)f)(y) \gamma_n(-x) dx, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

and the claim (2.1) is proved.

It is clear that

$$\|Y_n f\| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{T}(x)f\| \gamma_n(-x) dx \leq \|\mathcal{T}(x)\| \|f\|, \forall f \in E.$$

Since $\|\mathcal{T}(x)\| = \|T\|$, we get $\|Y_n\| \leq \|T\|$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now consider the distribution associated to Y_n . Let K be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and let $z_K \geq 1$ be such that $K \subset]-\infty, z_K[$. Choose $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that g is positive, $\text{supp } g \subset [z_K - 1, z_K + 1]$ and $g(z_K) = 1$. For $f \in C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have $gT(S_{z_K}(\tilde{f}g_n)) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and it follows from Sobolev's lemma (see [7]) that

$$\begin{aligned} |(TS_{z_K}(\tilde{f}g_n))(z_K)| &= |g(z_K)(TS_{z_K}(\tilde{f}g_n))(z_K)| \\ &\leq C \left(\left(\int_{|y-z_K| \leq 1} g(y)^2 |(TS_{z_K}(\tilde{f}g_n))(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{|y-z_K| \leq 1} |(g(TS_{z_K}(\tilde{f}g_n)))'(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. Taking into account (H1), T may be considered as a bounded operator from $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ into $L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(TS_{z_K}(\tilde{f}g_n))(z_K)| &\leq C(K) \|T\| (\|\tilde{f}g_n\|_\infty + \|(\tilde{f}g_n)'\|_\infty) \\ &\leq \tilde{C}(K) (\|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty), \end{aligned}$$

where $C(K)$ and $\tilde{C}(K)$ are constants depending only on K . Therefore

$$|(TS_z(\tilde{f}g_n))(z)| \leq \tilde{C}(K) (\|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty), \forall z \geq z_K, \forall f \in C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

and we conclude that $\mu_T g_n$ defined by

$$\langle \mu_T g_n, f \rangle = \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} (TS_z(\tilde{f}g_n))(z)$$

is a distribution of order 1. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_n f)(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{T}(-s)f)(y) \gamma_n(s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-isy} (T(\Gamma_s f))(y) \gamma_n(s) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \mu_{T,x}, f(y-x)e^{-isx} \rangle \gamma_n(s) ds = \langle \mu_{T,x}, f(y-x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_n(s) e^{-isx} ds \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu_{T,x}, f(y-x)g_n(x) \rangle = (\mu_T g_n * f)(y), \forall y \geq 0, \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$Y_n f = P^+(\mu_T g_n * f), \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\text{supp } \mu_T g_n \subset [-n, n]$, it is sufficient to obtain the Proposition 2 for $T \in W(E)$ such that μ_T is a distribution with compact support. Without lost of generality we assume that μ_T

is with compact support. Let $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be a sequence such that $\text{supp } \theta_n \subset [0, \frac{1}{n}]$, $\theta_n \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{x \geq a} \theta_n(x) dx = 0, \forall a > 0$$

and $\|\theta_n\|_{L^1} = 1$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $f \in E$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta_n * f - f\| = 0.$$

Set

$$T_n f = T(\theta_n * f), \forall f \in E.$$

We conclude that $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to T with respect to the strong operator topology and $T_n = T_{\phi_n}$, where $\phi_n = \mu_T * \theta_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$. For $f \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n f\| &= \left\| P^+ \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \theta_n(y) S_y (\mu_T * f) dy \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \theta_n(y) P^+ (\mu_T * S_y f) dy \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \theta_n(y) \|T\| \|S_y\| \|f\| dy, \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+). \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\|T_n\| \leq \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \theta_n(y) \|S_y\| dy \right) \|T\|, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and this completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

We need also the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *For every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we have*

$$|\hat{\phi}(\alpha)| \leq \|T_\phi\|, \forall \alpha \in U_E. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. We use the fact that for a bounded operator A on E , there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ such that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|A f_n - \rho(A) f_n\| = 0 \text{ and } \|f_n\| = 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.3)$$

Fix $\lambda = \rho(S)$. Let $(f_{n,1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of E such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|S f_{n,1} - \rho(S) f_{n,1}\| = 0$$

and

$$\|f_{n,1}\| = 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, observe that

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} = \rho(S_{\frac{1}{p}}).$$

Let $(f_{n,\frac{1}{p}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ be a sequence such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| S_{\frac{1}{p}} f_{n,\frac{1}{p}} - \rho(S_{\frac{1}{p}}) f_{n,\frac{1}{p}} \right\| = 0$$

and

$$\left\| f_{n,\frac{1}{p}} \right\| = 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Notice that for all $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, such that $q \leq p$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| S_{\frac{1}{q}} f_{n,\frac{1}{p!}} - \lambda^{\frac{1}{q}} f_{n,\frac{1}{p!}} \right\| = \left\| (S_{\frac{1}{p!}})^{\frac{p!}{q}} f_{n,\frac{1}{p!}} - (\lambda^{\frac{1}{p!}})^{\frac{p!}{q}} f_{n,\frac{1}{p!}} \right\| \\ & \leq \left(\prod_{u \in \mathbb{C}, u^{\frac{p!}{q}}=1, u \neq 1} \left\| S_{\frac{1}{p!}} - u \lambda^{\frac{1}{p!}} \right\| \right) \left\| S_{\frac{1}{p!}} f_{n,\frac{1}{p!}} - \lambda^{\frac{1}{p!}} f_{n,\frac{1}{p!}} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\prod_{u \in \mathbb{C}, u^{\frac{p!}{q}}=1, u \neq 1} \left\| S_{\frac{1}{p!}} - u \lambda^{\frac{1}{p!}} \right\| \leq C,$$

where C is a constant independent of n and hence we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| S_{\frac{1}{q}} f_{n,\frac{1}{p!}} - \lambda^{\frac{1}{q}} f_{n,\frac{1}{p!}} \right\| = 0.$$

Consequently, by a diagonal extraction, we can construct $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| S_{\frac{1}{p}} f_n - \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} f_n \right\| = 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

and

$$\|f_n\| = 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For all $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$S_{\frac{q}{p}} f_n - \lambda^{\frac{q}{p}} f_n = \mathcal{C}_{q,p} (S_{\frac{1}{p}} - \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} I) f_n,$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{q,p}$ is a linear combination of translations. Then

$$\|S_{\frac{q}{p}} f_n - \lambda^{\frac{q}{p}} f_n\| \leq \|\mathcal{C}_{q,p}\| \|S_{\frac{1}{p}} f_n - \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} f_n\|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|S_{\frac{q}{p}} f_n - \lambda^{\frac{q}{p}} f_n\| = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|S_{-\frac{q}{p}} f_n - \lambda^{-\frac{q}{p}} f_n\| \leq |\lambda^{-\frac{q}{p}}| \|S_{-\frac{q}{p}}\| \|\lambda^{\frac{q}{p}} f_n - S_{\frac{q}{p}} f_n\|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|S_{-\frac{q}{p}} f_n - \lambda^{-\frac{q}{p}} f_n\| = 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|S_t f_n - \lambda^t f_n\| = 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now, fix $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) S_x f_n dx$$

is a well-defined Bochner interval on E and

$$T_\phi f_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) (S_x f_n) dx. \quad (2.4)$$

Indeed, let K be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^+$. We have $T_\phi(C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)) \subset C_{K+supp(\phi)}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and the restriction of $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) S_x dx$ to $C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ can be considered as a Bochner integral on $C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with values in $C_{K+supp(\phi)}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$. It is clear that for $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the map

$$f \longrightarrow f(x)$$

is a continuous linear form on $C_{K_0}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, for every compact K_0 . Since Bochner integrals commute with continuous linear forms, we obtain, for $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (T_\phi g)(x) &= (\phi * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(y) g(x-y) dy = \int_{supp(\phi)} \phi(y) (S_y g)(x) dy \\ &= \left(\int_{supp(\phi)} \phi(y) S_y g \right)(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \end{aligned}$$

and the formula (2.4) follows from the density of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ in E . Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \lambda^x dx \right| &= \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \lambda^x dx \right) f_n \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \lambda^x f_n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) S_x f_n dx \right\| + \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) S_x f_n dx \right\| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(x)| \|\lambda^x f_n - S_x f_n\| dx + \|T_\phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the properties of $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the dominated convergence theorem, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(x)| \|\lambda^x f_n - S_x f_n\| dx = 0.$$

Denote by C_r the circle of radius r and denote by $\mathcal{D}_r$ the line

$$\mathcal{D}_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z = r\}.$$

We will write $e^{ia \cdot}$ for the function

$$x \longrightarrow e^{iax}.$$

Since $\|T_\phi\| = \|T_{e^{ia \cdot} \phi}\|$, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \lambda^x dx \right| \leq \|T_\phi\|, \quad \forall \lambda \in C_{\rho(S)}.$$

We conclude that

$$|\hat{\phi}(\alpha)| \leq \|T_\phi\|, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{D}_{\ln \rho(S)}.$$

Denote by E^* the dual space of E and denote by $\|\cdot\|_*$ the norm of E^* . For $\lambda \in C_{\frac{1}{\rho(S-1)}}$, applying the same methods in E^* , we obtain that there exists a sequence $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^*$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|(S_x)^* g_n - \lambda^x g_n\|_* = 0$$

and

$$\|g_n\|_* = 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We notice that we have

$$T_\phi^* = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \phi(x) S_x dx \right)^* = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \phi(x) (S_x)^* dx, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad (2.5)$$

see [3]. Then we obtain as above that

$$|\hat{\phi}(\alpha)| \leq \|T_\phi^*\| = \|T_\phi\|, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{D}_{-\ln \rho(S-1)}.$$

From the Phragmen-Lindelöf theorem, it follows that

$$|\hat{\phi}(\alpha)| \leq \|T_\phi\|, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \forall \alpha \in U_E.$$

□

The proof of Theorem 1 follows from Proposition 2 and Lemma 2 exactly in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 in [5] and we omit the details.

In the proof of Theorem 2, we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let $\epsilon > 0, \eta_0 > 0$ and $V = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^+ : |\eta_0 - \xi| \leq \delta\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ be fixed. Let $C_0 > 0$ be a fixed constant. For $t_0 > 0$ sufficiently large there exists a function $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with the properties:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus V} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi \leq \epsilon / C_0. \quad (2.6)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi \leq 2\sqrt{2\pi}. \quad (2.7)$$

$$|f(t_0)| = 1. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Introduce the function g with Fourier transform

$$\hat{g}(\xi) = \frac{1}{a} e^{-\frac{(\xi-\eta_0)^2}{2a^2}} e^{-it_0\xi},$$

where $a > 0$ will be taken small enough below. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus V} |\hat{g}(\xi)| d\xi &= \frac{1}{a} \int_{|\xi-\eta_0| \geq \delta} e^{-\frac{(\xi-\eta_0)^2}{2a^2}} d\xi \\ &\leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{4a^2}} \frac{1}{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{(\xi-\eta_0)^2}{4a^2}} d\xi \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2C_0} \end{aligned}$$

for $a > 0$ small enough. We fix $a > 0$ with this property. Obviously,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{g}(\xi)| d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\mu^2/2} d\mu = \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi a} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{(\xi-\eta_0)^2}{2a^2}} e^{i(t-t_0)\xi} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{i(t-t_0)\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\mu^2/2} e^{i(t-t_0)a\mu} d\mu = e^{-\frac{a^2(t-t_0)^2}{2}} e^{i(t-t_0)\eta_0} \end{aligned}$$

and $|g(t_0)| = 1$.

Now we will take $t_0 > 2$ sufficiently large. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a fixed function such that $\varphi(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 1/2$ and for $t \geq 2t_0 - 1/2$ and let $\varphi(t) = 1$ for $1 \leq t \leq 2t_0 - 1$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$. Introduce the function $f = \varphi g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$. The property (2.8) is trivial. We will show that (2.6) is satisfied for $t_0 > 0$ large enough depending on the choice of $a > 0$. The proof of (2.7) is similar and easier.

The function $F = (\varphi - 1)g$ has a small Fourier transform. Moreover, given $\epsilon > 0$ we can take $t_0 > 0$ large enough in order to have

$$|(1 + \xi^2)\hat{F}(\xi)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi C_0}. \quad (2.9)$$

Indeed, for $\xi^2 \hat{F}(\xi)$ we use an integration by parts with respect to t using the fact that

$$\xi^2 e^{-it\xi} = -\partial_t^2 (e^{-it\xi}).$$

On the support of $(\varphi - 1)$ we have $|t - t_0| > t_0 - 1$. Thus after the integration by parts in the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\xi} (1 + \xi^2) F(t) dt$ we are going to estimate an integral

$$\int_{|t-t_0| \geq t_0-1} e^{-\frac{a^2(t-t_0)^2}{2}} |P(t)| dt$$

with P a polynomial of degree not greater than 2.

To get (2.9), remark that this integral is bounded by

$$C \left[\int_{-\infty}^{1-t_0} y^2 e^{-a^2 y^2 / 2} dy + \int_{t_0-1}^{\infty} y^2 e^{-a^2 y^2 / 2} dy \right]$$

and taking $t_0 > 0$ sufficiently large we arrange (2.9). Next we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus V} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus V_\epsilon} |\hat{g}(\xi)| d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus V_\epsilon} |\hat{F}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2C_0} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi C_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \xi^2)^{-1} d\xi \leq \frac{\epsilon}{C_0}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. First, we show that

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(S_{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(S) \right\} \subset \text{spec}(S). \quad (2.10)$$

Fix $\lambda \notin \text{spec}(S)$. Then the operator $(S - \lambda I)^{-1}$ is a Wiener-Hopf operator and following 2) of Theorem 1, we get

$$(S - \lambda I)^{-1}(f)_a = P^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\nu_a(\widehat{(f)_a})), \quad \forall a \in I_E, \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+),$$

where $\nu_a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Replacing, f by $(S - \lambda I)g$, we obtain

$$(g)_a = P^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\nu_a \mathcal{F} \left(((S - \lambda I)g)_a \right) \right), \quad \forall g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Denote by $e^{a+i\cdot}$ the function

$$x \longrightarrow e^{a+ix}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{F}((Sg)_a)(t) = e^{a-it} \mathcal{F}((g)_a)(t), \quad \forall a \in I_E, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Consequently,

$$(g)_a(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(e^{a-i\cdot} - \lambda)\nu_a(\widehat{(g)_a})](t), \quad \forall a \in I_E, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \forall g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+). \quad (2.11)$$

We have

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(e^{a-i\cdot} - \lambda)\nu_a(\widehat{(g)_a})]\|_\infty \leq \| (e^{a-i\cdot} - \lambda) \nu_a(\widehat{(g)_a}) \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \forall a \in I_E, \quad \forall g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+). \quad (2.12)$$

Now, suppose that $|\lambda| = e^b$, for some $b \in I_E$. Choose a small $\epsilon \in]0, 1[$. It is easy to find an interval $V_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$|e^{b-it} - \lambda| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2\|\nu_b\|_\infty}, \quad \forall t \in V_\epsilon.$$

Taking into account Lemma 3, we can choose $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfying the following three conditions:

$$1) \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus V_\epsilon} |\widehat{(g)_b}(t)| dt \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4e^b \|\nu_b\|_\infty}$$

$$2) \int_{V_\epsilon} |\widehat{(g)_b}(t)| dt \leq 1$$

3) There exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, such that $|(g)_b(t_0)| \geq \epsilon$.

Taking into account that (2.11) and (2.12) hold for $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we get

$$|(g)_b(t_0)| \leq \int_{V_\epsilon} |e^{b-it} - \lambda| \|\nu_b\|_\infty |\widehat{(g)_b}(t)| dt + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus V_\epsilon} |e^{b-it} - \lambda| \|\nu_b\|_\infty |\widehat{(g)_b}(t)| dt \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence we obtain a contradiction so $|\lambda| \neq e^a$, $\forall a \in I_E$ and (2.10) follows.

We will prove now that

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(S)} \leq |z| \leq \rho(S_{-1}) \right\} \subset \text{spec}(S_{-1}). \quad (2.13)$$

Let $\lambda \notin \text{spec}(S_{-1})$. Then $(S_{-1} - \lambda I)^{-1} \in W(E)$. Indeed, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{-x}(S_{-1} - \lambda I)^{-1} S_x \\ &= (S_{-1} - \lambda I)^{-1} (S_{-1} - \lambda I) S_{-x} (S_{-1} - \lambda I)^{-1} S_x \\ &= (S_{-1} - \lambda I)^{-1} S_{-x} (S_{-1} - \lambda I) (S_{-1} - \lambda I)^{-1} S_x \\ &= (S_{-1} - \lambda I)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and for each $a \in I_E$, we have

$$((S_{-1} - \lambda I)^{-1} g)_a = P^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_a \widehat{(g)_a}),$$

for some $h_a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$(f)_a = P^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(h_a \mathcal{F}(((S_{-1} - \lambda I)f)_a)\right), \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{F}\left(((S_{-1} - \lambda I)f)_a\right)(t) = (e^{it-a} - \lambda) \widehat{(f)_a}(t), \quad a.e. \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^+,$$

if we suppose that $\text{supp}(f) \subset [1, \infty[$. Repeating the argument of the proof of (2.10), we get a contradiction if $|\lambda| = e^{-a}$, for some $a \in I_E$. We conclude that

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(S)} \leq |z| \leq \rho(S_{-1}) \right\} \subset \text{spec}(S_{-1}).$$

It follows that, if $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $\frac{1}{\rho(S_{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(S)$ then $\frac{1}{z} \in \text{spec}(S_{-1})$ and we deduce that

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(S_{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(S) \right\} \subset \text{spec}(S) \cap \left(\text{spec}(S_{-1}) \right)^{-1}.$$

From the definition of the spectral radius we get immediately that

$$\text{spec}(S) \cap \left(\text{spec}(S_{-1}) \right)^{-1} \subset \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(S_{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(S) \right\}$$

and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete. $\square$

Proposition 3. *If $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\widehat{\phi}(U_E) \subset \text{spec}(T_\phi).$$

Proof. Fix $\lambda \notin \text{spec}(T_\phi)$. Then $(T_\phi - \lambda I)^{-1}$ is a Wiener-Hopf operator and we obtain as above

$$(g)_a = P^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\nu_a[\widehat{(\phi)_a} - \lambda]\widehat{(g)_a}), \quad \forall g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+), \quad \forall a \in I_E,$$

where $\nu_a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Choosing a suitable $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we obtain in the same way as in the proof of (2.10) a contradiction if

$$\widehat{(\phi)_a}(t) = \lambda,$$

for some $a \in I_E$ and some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and the proposition follows immediately. $\square$

3. WIENER-HOPF OPERATORS ON BANACH SPACES OF FUNCTIONS ON $\mathbb{R}^+$ WITH VALUES IN A HILBERT SPACE H

Now let H be a separable Hilbert space. Denote by $\langle u, v \rangle$ the scalar product of $u, v \in H$. Let $\|u\|_H$ be the norm of $u \in H$. In this section we prove Theorem 3. Let E be the Banach space of functions from $\mathbb{R}^+$ into H satisfying (H1), (H2) and (H3). Let $\overline{E}$ be a Banach space of functions

$$F : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow H$$

such that

$$(\mathbb{R}^+ \ni x \longrightarrow \|F(x)\|_H) \in E.$$

We have the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4. *The space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H$ is dense in $\overline{E}$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi \in \overline{E}$. Then there exists a positive sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\phi_n - \|\Phi(\cdot)\|_H\|_E = 0.$$

For almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n(x) &= \phi_n(x) \frac{\Phi(x)}{\|\Phi(x)\|}, \quad \text{if } \Phi(x) \neq 0, \\ \Phi_n(x) &= 0, \quad \text{if } \Phi(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\|\Phi_n - \Phi\|_{\overline{E}} = \| \|\Phi_n(\cdot) - \Phi(\cdot)\|_H \|_E = \|\phi_n - \|\Phi(\cdot)\|_H\|_E$$

and it is clear that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\Phi_n - \Phi\|_{\overline{E}} = 0.$$

Since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H$ is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$, the space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H$ is dense in $\overline{E}$. $\square$

Lemma 5. If $\Phi \in \overline{E}$ and $u \in H$, then the function defined by

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \ni x \longrightarrow \langle \Phi(x), u \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

is a element of E .

Proof. Let $\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n u_n \right)_{N \geq 0}$ be a sequence in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H$ such that $\phi_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n u_n - \Phi \right\|_E = 0.$$

Let $u \in H$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n(\cdot) u_n, u \right\rangle - \langle \Phi(\cdot), u \rangle \right\|_E \\ & \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n(\cdot) u_n - \Phi(\cdot) \right\|_H \right\|_E \|u\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is clear that

$$x \longrightarrow \langle \Phi(x), u \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

is a element of E . $\square$

In the proof of Theorem 3 we will also use the following lemma.

Lemma 6. Let $G \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ and $v \in H$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\langle G(\cdot), v \rangle)(x) = \langle \mathcal{F}(G)(x), v \rangle,$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The reader may find the proof of Lemma 6 in [6]. Next we pass to the proof of our main result.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\mathbf{T} \in W(\overline{E})$. Fix $u, v \in H$. Define $T_{u,v}$ on E by the formula

$$(T_{u,v}f)(x) = \langle \mathbf{T}(fu)(x), v \rangle, \forall f \in E, \text{ a.e.}$$

From Lemma 5, it follows that $T_{u,v}$ is an operator from E into E . It is clear that

$$S_{-x} \langle \mathbf{T}(S_x fu), v \rangle = \langle S_{-x} \mathbf{T}(S_x fu), v \rangle = \langle T(fu), v \rangle, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Then we see that $T_{u,v} \in W(E)$. Following Theorem 1, for $a \in I_E$ there exists a function $\nu_{a,u,v} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(T_{u,v}f)_a = P^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\nu_{a,u,v} \widehat{(f)_a}), \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an orthonormal basis of H and let $\mathcal{O}$ be the set of finite linear combinations of elements of $\mathcal{B}$. We have

$$|\nu_{a,u,v}(x)| \leq C\|T_{u,v}\|, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus N_{u,v},$$

where $N_{u,v}$ is a set of measure zero. Without loss of generality, we can modify $\nu_{a,u,v}$ on $N = \cup_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}} N_{u,v}$ in order to obtain

$$|\nu_{a,u,v}(x)| \leq C\|M_{u,v}\| \leq C\|\mathbf{T}\|\|u\|\|v\|, \forall u, v \in \mathcal{O}, a.e.$$

For fixed $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus N$ we observe that

$$\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O} \ni (u, v) \longrightarrow \nu_{a,u,v}(x) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is a sesquilinear and continuous form on $\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}$ and since $\mathcal{O}$ is dense in H , we conclude that there exists a unique map

$$H \times H \ni (u, v) \longrightarrow \tilde{\nu}_{a,u,v}(x) \in \mathbb{C}$$

such that

$$\tilde{\nu}_{a,u,v}(x) = \nu_{a,u,v}(x), \forall u, v \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Consequently, there exists a unique map

$$\mathcal{V}_a : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$$

such that

$$\langle \mathcal{V}_a(x)[u], v \rangle = \tilde{\nu}_{a,u,v}(x), \forall u, v \in H, a.e.$$

It is clear that

$$\|\mathcal{V}_a(x)\| = \sup_{\|u\|=1, \|v\|=1} |\langle \mathcal{V}_a(x)[u], v \rangle| \leq C\|T\|, a.e.$$

Fix $a \in I_E$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$. It is obvious that we have $(\widehat{f})_a(x)u \in H, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Next for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\langle \mathcal{V}_a(\cdot)[(\widehat{f})_a(\cdot)u], v \rangle\right)(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\langle \mathcal{V}_a(\cdot)[u], v \rangle (\widehat{f})_a(\cdot)\right)(x) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\tilde{\nu}_{a,u,v}(\cdot)(\widehat{f})_a(\cdot)\right)(x) = (T_{u,v}f)_a(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\langle \mathcal{V}_a(\cdot)[(\widehat{f})_a(\cdot)u], v \rangle)(x) = (\langle \mathbf{T}[fu](\cdot), v \rangle)_a(x), \quad (3.1)$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Now, consider the function Ψ_a on $\mathbb{R}^+$ defined for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ by the formula

$$\Psi_a(x) = \mathcal{V}_a(x)[(\widehat{f})_a(x)u]$$

and observe that $\Psi_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$. Indeed, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|\mathcal{V}_a(x)[(\widehat{f})_a(x)u]\|^2 dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|\mathcal{V}_a(x)\|^2 \|\widehat{(f)_a}u\|^2 dx \\
&\leq C^2 \|\mathbf{T}\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\widehat{(f)_a}(x)|^2 \|u\|^2 dx < +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This makes possible to apply Lemma 6, and we get

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\langle \mathcal{V}_a(\cdot)[\widehat{(f)_a}(\cdot)u], v \rangle)(x) = \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_a(\cdot)[\widehat{(f)_a}(\cdot)u])(x), v \rangle,$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$. It follows from (3.1) that we have

$$(\mathbf{T}[fu])_a(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_a(\cdot)[\widehat{(f)_a}(\cdot)u])(x),$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and this yields

$$(\mathbf{T}[fu])_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H).$$

This completes the proof of 1) and 2). The proof of 3) uses the same argument as the proof of the assertion 3) of Theorem 1. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that $\alpha \notin \text{spec}(\mathbf{S})$. Then we have $(\mathbf{S} - \alpha I)^{-1} \in W(\overline{E})$ and from Theorem 3, we get

$$((\mathbf{S} - \alpha I)^{-1}F)_a = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_a(\cdot)[\widehat{(F)_a}(\cdot)]), \quad \forall a \in I_E, \quad \forall F \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H.$$

Replacing F by $(\mathbf{S} - \alpha I)G$, we get

$$(G)_a(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_a(\cdot)\mathcal{F}[(\mathbf{S} - \alpha I)G](\cdot))(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{V}_a(\cdot)[(e^{a-i\cdot} - \alpha)\widehat{(G)_a}(\cdot)]\right)(x).$$

We have

$$\|(G)_a\|_\infty \leq \|\mathcal{V}_a(\cdot)[(e^{a-i\cdot} - \alpha)\widehat{(G)_a}(\cdot)]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \forall a \in I_E.$$

Then if $|\alpha| = e^a$, for some $a \in I_E$ choosing a suitable $G \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H$ in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain a contradiction. Hence,

$$\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(S_{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(S)\right\} \subset \text{spec}(\mathbf{S}).$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(S_{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(S)\right\} \subset (\text{spec}(\mathbf{S}_{-1}))^{-1}.$$

It follows that

$$\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(S_{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(S)\right\} \subset \text{spec}(\mathbf{S}) \cap \left(\text{spec}(\mathbf{S}_{-1})\right)^{-1}.$$

Taking into account that

$$\text{spec}(\mathbf{S}) \cap \left(\text{spec}(\mathbf{S}_{-1})\right)^{-1} \subset \left\{z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(\mathbf{S}_{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(\mathbf{S})\right\}$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{S}\| \leq \|S\|, \quad \|\mathbf{S}_{-1}\| \leq \|S_{-1}\|,$$

(see Section 1), we observe that

$$\rho(\mathbf{S}) = \rho(S), \quad \rho(\mathbf{S}_{-1}) = \rho(S_{-1}).$$

We deduce that

$$\text{spec}(\mathbf{S}) \cap \left(\text{spec}(\mathbf{S}_{-1}) \right)^{-1} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{\rho(\mathbf{S}_{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(\mathbf{S}) \right\}$$

and the proof of Theorem 4 is complete. $\square$

4. GENERALIZATIONS

In this section we first deal with the Wiener-Hopf operators in a larger class of Banach spaces of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in a separable Hilbert space. Let W be an operator-valued weight on $\mathbb{R}^+$. It means that

$$W : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$$

and W satisfies the property

$$0 < \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{\|W(x+y)\|}{\|W(x)\|} < +\infty, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (4.1)$$

This implies (see [4], [5]) that for every compact K of $\mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$\sup_{x \in K} \|W(x)\| < +\infty.$$

Notice that if H has a finite dimension, W is given by a matrix. We denote by $L_W^p(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ the space of measurable functions F on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in H such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|W(x)[F(x)]\|_H^p dx < +\infty.$$

For illustration we give a simple example.

Example. If H is the space $\mathbb{R}^5$, the operator-valued weight W defined for x by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^x & e^{3x} & 1 & 1 \\ 1+x & x & e^x & 1 & e^{3x} \\ e^x & 1 & 1 & x & x+1 \\ 1 & 1 & e^x & e^{2x} & 1 \\ x & x & 1+x & e^x & \frac{x^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

is such that the condition (4.1) trivially holds.

The space $L_W^p(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ is equipped with the norm

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|W(x)[F(x)]\|_H^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a Wiener-Hopf operator on $L_W^p(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$. We fix $u, v \in H$. Notice that for $u \in H$ and $f \in L_{\|W\|}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we have $fu \in L_W^p(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$. Indeed,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|W(x)[f(x)u]\|^p dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|W(x)\|^p |f(x)|^p \|u\|^p dx < +\infty.$$

Introduce the operator $T_{u,v}$ defined on $L_{\|W\|}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ by the formula

$$(T_{u,v}f)(x) = \langle \mathbf{T}(fu)(x), v \rangle, \text{ a.e., } \forall f \in L_{\|W\|}^p(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|W(x)\|^p |\langle \mathbf{T}(fu)(x), v \rangle|^p dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|W(x)\|^p \|\mathbf{T}\|^p \|u\|^p |f(x)|^p \|v\|^p dx < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $T_{u,v}$ is a Wiener-Hopf operator on $L_{\|W\|}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Therefore $T_{u,v}$ has a symbol following Theorem 1. Applying the methods exposed in Section 3, we obtain that Theorem 3 holds also if we replace $\underline{E}$ by $L_W^p(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Denote by I_W (resp. U_W) the set I_E (resp. U_E) for $E = L_{\|W\|}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$. We recall that I_E and U_E are defined in the Introduction. We have the following.

Theorem 5. *Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a Wiener-Hopf operator on $L_W^p(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

- 1) *We have $(\mathbf{T}\Phi)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$, $\forall \Phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H$, $\forall a \in I_W$.*
- 2) *There exists $\mathcal{V}_a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}(H))$ such that*

$$(\mathbf{T}\Phi)_a = \mathbf{P}^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_a(\cdot) [\widehat{(\Phi)_a}] (\cdot)), \quad \forall a \in I_W, \quad \forall \Phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes H.$$

Moreover, $\text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{V}_a(x)\| \leq C \|\mathbf{T}\|$.

- 3) *If $\overset{\circ}{U}_W \neq \emptyset$, set*

$$\mathcal{V}(x + ia) = \mathcal{V}_a(x), \quad \forall a \in \overset{\circ}{I}_W, \text{ for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

We have $\sup_{z \in \overset{\circ}{U}_W} \|\mathcal{V}(z)\| \leq C \|\mathbf{T}\|$ and for $u, v \in H$, the function

$$z \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{V}(z)u, v \rangle$$

is analytic on $\overset{\circ}{U}_W$.

The results of Section 3 and Section 4 hold if we replace H by a separable Banach space B satisfying the following conditions:

1) B has a countable basis.

2) The dual space of B denoted by B^* has a countable basis.

For example these conditions are satisfied if $B = l_\omega^p(\mathbb{Z})$, where ω is a weight on $\mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq p < +\infty$. We recall that ω is a weight on $\mathbb{Z}$, if ω is a positive sequence on $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

$$0 < \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\omega(k+n)}{\omega(k)} < +\infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is easy to see that $B^* = l_{\omega^*}^q(\mathbb{Z})$, where q is such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. The weight ω^* is given by the formula

$$\omega^*(n) = \frac{1}{\omega(-n)}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Denote by e_n the sequence defined by $e_n(k) = 0$ if $n \neq k$ and $e_n(n) = 1$. Considering the family $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ included in $l_\omega^p(\mathbb{Z})$ and in $l_{\omega^*}^q(\mathbb{Z})$, it is trivial to see that les conditions 1) and 2) are satisfied.

Let B be a Banach space satisfying 1) and 2). Let E be a Banach space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying (H1)-(H3). Denote by $\langle, \rangle_B$ the duality between B and B^* . Let $\overline{E}$ be the space of functions

$$F : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow B$$

such that $\|F(\cdot)\|_B \in E$. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a Wiener-Hopf operator on $\overline{E}$. Then using the operators $T_{u,v}$ defined by

$$(T_{u,v}f)(x) = \langle \mathbf{T}(fu)(x), v \rangle_B, \forall u \in B, \forall v \in B^*, \text{ a.e.}$$

and the arguments of the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain an extended version of Theorem 3 in the case of spaces of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in B . For example Theorem 3 holds for the Wiener-Hopf operators on spaces of the form $L_{\omega_1}^p(\mathbb{R}^+, l_{\omega_2}^q(\mathbb{Z}))$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, where ω_1 (resp. ω_2) is a weight on $\mathbb{R}^+$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}$). The arguments developed in this paper do not hold if we replace $l_{\omega_2}^q(\mathbb{Z})$ by $L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathbb{R})$, for $q \neq 2$. The existence of the symbol of a Wiener-Hopf operator on the family of spaces $L_{\omega_1}^p(\mathbb{R}^+, L_{\omega_2}^q(\mathbb{R}))$ for $q \neq 2$ is an interesting direction of investigation.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. M. Bund, *Birnbaum-Orlicz spaces of functions on groups*, Pacific J. Math. **58** (1975), 351-359.
- [2] G.I. Gaudry, B.R.F. Jefferies, W.J. Ricker, *Vector-valued multipliers: convolution with operator-valued measures*, Dissertations Math. **385** (2000).
- [3] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. (1957).
- [4] V. Petkova, *Symbole d'un multiplicateur sur $L_\omega^2(\mathbb{R})$* , Bull. Sci. Math. **128** (2004), 391-415.
- [5] V. Petkova, *Wiener-Hopf operators on $L_\omega^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$* , Arch. Math.(Basel), **84** (2005), 311-324.

- [6] V. Petkova, *Multipliers on spaces of functions on a locally compact abelian group with values in a Hilbert space*, Serdica Math. J. **32** (2006), 215-226.
- [7] G. Roos, *Analyse et Géométrie, Méthodes hilbertiennes*, Dunod, Paris, 2002.

VIOLETA PETKOVA, UNIVERSITÉ PAUL SÉBATIER,, UFR: MIG, LABORATOIRE EMILE PICARD, 118
ROUTE DE NARBONNE, 31062 TOULOUSE CEDEX 4, FRANCE.,
E-mail address: `petkova@math.ups-tlse.fr`